Health Equity Fund through Voucher System for chronic NCDs in Cambodia

A first experience...

0

for people with Diabetes, Hypertension and Associated Disorders (Dyslipidemia's, Chronic Kidney Disease...)

Mao Ngeav, Head of Access to Medical Services Dept. MoPoTsyo Patient Information Centre http://www.mopotsyo.org



Content of Presentation on Vouchers & HEF

- Objectives
- Voucher process
- Result of vouchers distribution and use
- Issues for discussion

Objective

Objective of HEF:

Health Equity Fund is money to finance the special assistance for chronic poor patients who must overcome barriers to access their health services in order to improve their long term health outcomes and protect them from catastrophic health expenditure.

Objectives of using vouchers for HEF

- 1. To avoid circulating cash to assist patients
- 2. To reduce barriers for poorest patients in using the health services, in particular the long term prescription treatment
- 3. To promote regular meeting between poor patient and peer educator (at least 3 monthly, monthly vouchers, limited validity of period)
- 4. To promote transparency for the beneficiary (voucher has name and amount of value)



Distribution of Vouchers: 2 types of beneficiaries

Nr of distributed vouchers for buying RDF medicines



<u>Poor People</u>: The number of voucher distributed to the poor increased from 135 in Q3, 2011 to 189 in Q4, 2011 and to 216 in Q1, 2012. The main limit is "funding".

<u>Peer Educators</u>: from 201 in Q4, 2011 to 228 in Q1 2012. This number grows according to the number of Peer Educators (not every PE takes medicine!!)

Result of voucher use

HEF voucher for poor



Discount voucher for Peer

Result of voucher use (p=0.02517) Fisher exact 1-tail

	Discount Voucher for Peer		
	Distribute	Use	% of Use
Banteay Mean Chey	48	45	94%
Kompong Speu	102	90	88%
Phnom Penh	39	31	79%
Takeo	240	188	78%
Total	429	354	83%

	HEF voucher for Poor Patients		
	Distribute	Use	% of Use
Banteay Mean Chey	93	67	72%
Kompong Speu (not yet)	0	0	0%
Phnom Penh	429	336	78%
Takeo	18	14	78%
Total	540	417	77%

Average & range of monthly prescription cost



Average & range of voucher amount

Distributed vouchers

Used vouchers



Reasons for non use by poor patients and PE

- Analysis has begun
- Need qualitative and quantitative data
- Number of non users still so small
- Result later...

Health Equity Fund voucher for poor patient



Discount voucher for peers and staff



Back side of the voucher

<u>ពត៌មានអំពីប័ណ្ណ៖</u>

១.ប័ណ្ណនេះអង្គការ ម.ព.ជ. ផ្តល់ជូនដល់សមាជិកណាដែលងាយរងគ្រោះដោយសារជំងឺរ៉ាំងី និងពុំមានលទ្ធភាពគ្រប់គ្រាន់ដើម្បី ទិញថ្នាំដោយខ្លួនឯង និងមិត្តអប់រំមិត្ត ម.ព.ជ. តែប៉ុណ្ណោះ ។ ២.ប័ណ្ណនេះ គឺមានតំលៃស្មើនឹងចំនួនទឹកប្រាក់ដែលបានបញ្ចាក់នៅផ្នែកខាងមុខនៃសន្លឹកប័ណ្ណតែប៉ុណ្ណោះ ។

៣.ប័ណ្ណនេះអាចប្រើបានសំរាប់តែសមាជិកដែលមានលេខក្ខុដ និងឈ្មោះដែលបានបញ្ជាក់នៅផ្នែកខាងមុខនៃសន្ធឹកតែប៉ុណ្ណោះ ។

៤.ប័ណ្ណនេះអាចប្រើបានសំរាប់ទិញច្នាំពីឱសថស្ថានដៃគូរទាំង១៧ មាន៖

ក. ភ្នំពេញ (ឱសថស្ថាន និជាធន៍ - រស់សុភាវត្ត័)

ខ. ខេត្តតាកែវ (ឱសថស្ថាន អង្គរកា - ព្រៃរំដួល - ពេទ្យហេម - ឡាលីន - ឱសថទិព្វ - អង្សឹង្ហ - ព្រៃកប្បាស - រមេញ - សុខសាន្ត)

គ. ខេត្តបន្ទាយមានជ័យ (ឱសថស្ថាន ថ្មពួក - ស្វាយចេក - បឹងត្រកួន - បន្ទាយឆ្មារ)

ឃ. ខេត្តកំពង់ស្ពឺ (ឱសថស្ថាន ស្រង់ - ត្រាំខ្នារ)

៥.ប័ណ្ណនេះអាចប្រើបានសំរាប់មួយខែ ចាប់ពីថ្ងៃទី២៥ នៃខែ និងមានសុពលភាពដល់ថ្ងៃនៅផ្នែកខាងមុខនៃសន្លឹកតែប៉ុណ្ណោះ ។

៦.ប័ណ្ណនេះប្រើបានសំរាប់តែទិញថ្នាំតាមឥជ្ជបញ្ហាចុងក្រោយដែលមានក្នុងសៀវភៅតាមដានសុខភាពរបស់ ម.ព.ជ. តែប៉ុណ្ណោះ ។

៧.អ្នកទទួលផលពីប័ណ្ណនេះត្រវគោរពតាមគោលការណ៍របស់ ម.ព.ជ. ។

๘. ถลิษาธบรัฐษ ญษตาก่ งินษาณาย 012 926 071 / 023 884 483 / 092 289 197 ฯ

Issues for discussion

Monthly prescription cost is useful information for targeting most vulnerable

Despite average co-payment level of 33% the level of use (91%) is very high among the poor chronic DM. No sign of barrier!

More HEF funding can increase the percentage of assistance for some very poor cases (100% - 91%= 9%??), but also increase the number of patients benefiting. The high uptake (91%) suggests that HEF should help many more patients with similar levels of co-payments. (Research)

Should delivery of other services be included (laboratory, medical consultation) and should transport costs be included ? (Research)

Who should / wants carry the financial burden of funding for the poorest chronic patients ? MoPoTsyo's non poor members do not want to pay for the poorest members.