

Improving service delivery: key platform for successful UHC in Thailand

Viroj Tangcharoensathien MD PhD

The First Regional Symposium on Health Jogjakarta, 11 October 2012





Thailand's Universal Coverage Scheme: Achievements and Challenges

An independent assessment of the first 10 years (2001-2010)

Synthesis Report

http://www.hsri.or.th



UHC trajectory and GNI per capita: 1975-2002



Note: CSMBS: civil servant medical benefit scheme, SSS: social security scheme,

Pragmatism: Thailand introduced and expanded financial health protection to different groups of population: the poor and vulnerable, the formal sector and the informal sector



UC cube: what has been achieved?



- X axis:
 - 99% pop overage by 3 schemes
 [UCS 75%, SSS 20%, CSMBS 5%]
- Y axis:
 - Free at point of services, very minimum OOP,
 - Low incidence of catastrophic health expenditure and health impoverishment
- Z axis:
 - Comprehensive benefit package, very small exclusion list,
 - Most high cost interventions covered: dialysis, chemotherapy, major surgery, medicines (Essential drug list)

Three key factors for UCS

Political commitment

- Civil society's mobilization
- Technical know-how

Outcome: Increased utilization, low unmet needs



Annual prevalence of unmet healthcare need was on par with OECD countries
 Outpatient 1.4%,
 Inpatient 0.4%
 Source: NSO Panel SES 2009

Outcome: pro-poor outpatient and inpatient utilization



Source: Analysis of Health and Welfare Survey

Outcome: pro-poor government health subsidy to outpatient mana and inpatient services

Government health subsidy for the poorest (Q1) and richest (Q5) beneficiaries 2003-2009 UCS beneficiaries Total OP subsidy Total IP subsidy 30.4 30.2 29.8 30 29.0 28.4 28.2 27.6 27.4 27.127.2 27.0 26.6 24.2 23.9 23.5 23.4 23.2 23.2 12.5 12.6 12.3 12.3 12.2 12.1 10.2 9.6 10.8 10 8.1 7.8 7.7 7.8 7.3 7.3 6.1 5.8 5.2 0 Q1 Q1 Q5 Q1 Q5 Q5 Q1 Q1 Q5 Q5 Q1 Q5 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2009

Source: Analysis of Health and Welfare Survey

Outcome: reduced household out of pocket payment



Outcome: reduced incidence of catastrophic health spending managements [OOP>10% total consumption exp.]



Source: Analysis of Socio-economic Survey (SES)

Outcome: Protection against health impoverishment



Red line: counterfactual scenario, Blue line: actual outcome

Gaps between red and blue line are number of household protected against health impoverishment

Outcome: Sub-national health impoverishment



Pre-UC expansion of health infrastructures and human resources





UCS success

- high percentage of UCS members who express satisfaction — 90% in 2010, up from 83% in 2003.
- Also, although many contracted health-care providers were unhappy with the UCS in its first few years, their satisfaction rates rose from 39% in 2004 to 79% in 2010.

Conclusions



Main outcome of UCS:

- Improved access, minimum unmet needs;
- Pro-poor use and government subsidy;
- Reduced OOP, catastrophic spending, protection against health impoverishment;

Contributing factors:

- Health service delivery
 - Extensive coverage of PHC and district health systems
 - Three years mandatory rural services by all health graduates since 1972
- Leadership and continuity
 - Continued political support despite rapid turn over government
 - Capable technocrats and active civil society
- Evidence informed decision, strong institutional capacities on
 - Health technology assessment capacities
 - Key platforms for evidence informed decisions
 - Health systems research



Research team

Thai research team: Viroj Tangcharoensathien, Siriwan Pitayarangsarit, Hathichanok Sumalee, Phusit Prakongsai, Walaiporn Patcharanarumol, Jiraboon Tosanguan, Weerasak Putthasri and Nonglak Pagaiya, International Health Policy Program; Pongpisut Jongudomsuk and Boonchai Kijsanayotin, Health Systems Research Institute; Samrit Srithamrongsawat, Health Insurance System Research Office; David Hughes, Swansea University, UK; Jadej Thammatach-Aree and Yongyuth Pongsupap, National Health Security Office; Nucharee Srivirojana, Institute for Population and Social Research, Mahidol University; Vinai Leesmidt, Khlong Khlung Hospital; Pinij Faramunayphol, Health Information System Development Office; Nusaraporn Kessomboon, Supon Limwatananon, Chulaporn Limwatananon and Areewan Chiewchanwattana, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Khon Kaen University; Kanchit Sooknark, Faculty of Management and Information Sciences, Naresuan University; Supasit Pannarunothai, Faculty of Medicine, Naresuan University; Songkramchai Leethongdee, Faculty of Public Health, Mahasarakham University; Paibul Suriyawongpaisal and Rassamee Tansirisithikul, Faculty of Medicine, Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol Unversity; Thira Woratanarat, Piya Hanvoravongchai and Jiruth Sriratanaban, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University; Watchai Charunwathana, Department of Health Service Support, Ministry of Public Health; Pongsa Pornchaiwiseskul, Worawet Suwanrada and Somprawin Manprasert, Faculty of Economics, Chulalongkorn University.

15 offices and institutions



Final clear and simple message

 universal coverage is possible in a lower-middle-income country which Thailand is until 2011



Thank you